

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/618,349	07/11/	2003	Paul Garcia	00227D/RPM	6694
1933	7590	12/23/2003		EXAMINER	
FRISHAU 767 THIRD		OODMAN &	CHIESA, RICHARD L		
25TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1724	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/618,349	GARCIA, PAUL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard L. Chiesa	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stonian and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roll. It reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence of	nents have been received in A priority documents have been treau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Its of the certified copies not nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. of first sentence of the specific provisional application has beestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/618,349

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings filed on July 11, 2003 have been accepted by the examiner as formal drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Cramer et al. Cramer et al (note Figure 3) disclose an air-water mixing process with a first flow section 9, second flow section 10 having a larger cross-sectional area than the first section, air introduction ports 14, and a conduit 7 having a length greater than its largest diameter for passing the mixed air and water streams as claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/618,349

Art Unit: 1724

(35 USC 102b). It would appear that Cramer et al may not explicitly state that the air is dissolved in the water substantially to its saturation point or that the conduit is at least one foot long. However, these expedients are apparently inherent in the Cramer et al process because Cramer et al do state that total oxygen dispersion in the water is achieved (note col. 1, lines 9-45) and the process is utilized in large bodies of water requiring at least two men to move the assembly (note col. 5, lines 23-46) for the purpose of ensuring low cost manufacture, efficiency, and reliability. Consequently, it would have been readily obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art (35 USC 103 to employ saturation of air into the water and a conduit length of at least one foot in the Cramer et al air-liquid mixing process in order to promote efficient operation as suggested by Cramer et al.

5. Claims 1-12 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cramer et al in view of Semprini et al. Cramer et al, as described above in paragraph 4, disclose an airwater mixing process substantially as claimed. Cramer et al may not explicitly disclose air dissolution up to saturation or a conduit at least one foot in length. In any case, Semprini et al (note Figure 2) teach the well-known uses of gas saturation into the water stream and a one foot long conduit in a gas-water mixing process (note col. 2, line 40 to col. 3, line 19) for the purpose of ensuring maximum oxygenation of the water (note col. 1, lines 10-42). It therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ gas saturation and a foot long conduit in the Cramer et al air-water mxing process in order to facilitate total oxygenation of the water as taught by Semprini et al.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/618,349

Art Unit: 1724

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. These references have been cited as art of interest to show other gas-liquid mixing processes.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1154.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine R. Copenheaver, can be reached at (571) 272-1156.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0987.

Facsimile correspondence must be transmitted through (703) 872-9306.

Richard L. Chiesa December 12, 2003

> RICHARD L. CHIESA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1724

Richard L. Chiesa

Dec. 12, 2003